March 18, 1976

taken out of this bill. And on that basis I'll not support the DeCamp amendments what so ever. This is important to those people in the Liquor Commission to get their entire soul bared before their competitors and their suppliers without their permission what so ever. You should know that you are removing this when you approve the DeCamp amendment.

PRESIDENT: Senator Stoney.

SENATOR STONEY: Mr. President, question of Senator DeCamp, please?

PRESIDENT: Go ahead.

SENATOR **STONEY**: Senator DeCamp, I noticed in your motion you were asking to remove sections 1, 2, 5, 6, 7. I believe there are 7 sections in the bill. Can you tell me what are contained in sections 3 and 4 please?

SENATOR DECAMP: The only thing that I kept from the original bill was the joint tenancy aspect and that, I'm sure what's in those and I looked. Joint tenancy means the husband and wife can apply for the license in both their names and if they both qualify the license can say Joe and Mary Smith Then if Joe dies, Mary can go on and operate the business antil such time as the license renewal perioddcomes and get it in her own name. As it is now, it's in one or the other's name. Joe Smith. If Joe dies they are out of business. They are stopped.

SENATOR STONEY: Senator DeCamp, was not your motion this proposal that this be one of the alternatives or one of the options that you wish to have included in the bill or am I confused on that? You gave us fourspecific points. (interuption) this is already here.

SENATOR DECAMP: Yes, that's one of them that is included.

SENATOR STONEY: Alright. So there are actually three additional that you wish to add?

SENATOR DECAMP: That's correct.

SENATOR STONEY: And Senator Koch has asked for a division so that we might consider these individually?

SENATOR DECAMP: That's fine.

SENATOR STONEY: Isn't that motion on the desk at the present time? Mr. Clerk? Senator Koch's motion, did he ask for a division of the question with reference to Senator DeCamp's motion so that we might consider these items individually rather than collectively? Has their been a motion to divide the question?

CLERK: Oh, there is no motion to do that that I am aware of. I don't think you can do that anyway.

SENATOR STONEY: There's no motion?

CLERK: You can't do it. You have to adopt the specific amendment or not. You can't split it up once it is returned.